



# Omics of oil biodegradation

Ann-Marie G Harik<sup>1</sup>, Zabrenna Griffiths<sup>2</sup> and  
Terry C Hazen<sup>1,2,3,4,5,6</sup>

Omics studies (metagenomics, transcriptomics, metabolomics, proteomics) for marine oil biodegradation research increased rapidly after the 2010 Deepwater Horizon (DWH) accident in the Gulf of Mexico. Since then, it has been demonstrated how omics techniques can be used to model and better understand pre-spill environments, monitoring during a spill and post-spill. Data that encompass everything from the ecosystem to the molecular level are needed for understanding the complicated process of petroleum biodegradation in marine environments. Consequently, using omics for monitoring oil in the ocean will help in developing more robust systems models and would make responses to spills much more defensible in terms of risks to the environment and people. Omics is enabling for a Systems Biology approach to oil spills which allows a search for hidden interactions and attributes at different trophic levels because 'the whole is greater than the sum of its parts'.

## Addresses

<sup>1</sup> Department of Civil and Environmental Sciences, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA

<sup>2</sup> Genome Science and Technology Program, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA

<sup>3</sup> Department of Microbiology, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA

<sup>4</sup> Department of Earth & Planetary Sciences, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA

<sup>5</sup> Methane Center, Institute of Secure and Sustainable Environments, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA

<sup>6</sup> Biosciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, USA

Corresponding author: Hazen, Terry C ([tchazen@utk.edu](mailto:tchazen@utk.edu))

**Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering** 2022, **36**:xx–yy

This review comes from a themed issue on **Energy and environmental engineering**

Edited by **Michel C Boufadel** and **Chunjiang An**

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coche.2022.100800>

2211-3398/© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## Introduction

Metagenomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics have played a significant role in environmental monitoring and bioremediation efforts in recent years. This trend was catalyzed by the marine oil biodegradation

research after the 2010 Deepwater Horizon (DWH) spill in the Gulf of Mexico. Physical clean-up efforts were hindered by technical or logistical difficulties caused by the depth at which this spill occurred. DWH is the deepest oil well blowout (~1500 m below the surface) that has ever occurred. The uncertainty surrounding the fate of oil in deep sea environments presented a unique research opportunity. The first studies demonstrated faster-than-expected hydrocarbon biodegradation rates in deep waters [1]. Based on field and lab studies, hydrocarbon composition changes were observed with distance from the DWH well blow out which helped to reveal a variety of hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms [1]. The indigenous microorganisms were adapted to natural seeps of crude oil in that marine environment [2]. Rapid oil biodegradation was facilitated by water-soluble constituents in the crude oil and through the injection of subsea dispersant [3]. The microbial community composition and dominant taxa changed with the degradation of certain components of the crude oil thus changing the petroleum composition through time. The more easily degraded components were consumed first by microbes that could grow faster during the initial environmental conditions, followed by microbes that could degrade more difficult to metabolize components and/or daughter products created by the initial biodegradation [4].

Oil spills have severe effects on the ambient area around the release site [5]. These incidents may occur during oil and gas exploration or production-related activities, including accidents during storage or transport [5]. Oil biodegradation by microorganisms can be effective in reducing some of the immediate risks associated with oil spills while having minimal adverse effects on the environment [5]. Temperature, oxygen concentration, and available nutrients can influence the rate and extent of oil bioremediation [6]. The selection of the most appropriate response option(s) following an oil spill typically involves the consideration of many factors and trade-offs, for example, urgency related to nearness and sensitivity of risk factors and long-term effects on the environment.

Marine microbial communities are a vital component of global carbon cycling, and numerous studies have shown that populations of known oil-degrading bacteria are ubiquitous in marine environments. Omics technologies will prove useful in establishing baseline communities and activities for example, during natural exposure from underwater oil seeps. Understanding the microbial community composition and diversity aids in the prediction of

petroleum biodegradation by microbial communities *in situ* and is therefore an important component of oil spill response decision-making process. Successive blooms of taxonomically distinct indigenous microbial populations as the oil weathers and labile components are sequentially degraded, leaving less-readily degraded components to feed subsequent blooms of microbes is critical to understanding the best alternatives for long-term spill response.

### Microbial communities across marine basins

The presence of marine petroleum hydrocarbon pollution has both natural and anthropogenic sources. Anthropogenically, the growing demands for fossil fuel and its derivative products over the last millennia have resulted in increased extraction and exploration which in turn increased offshore drilling, pipelines, and shipping spills globally. This creates potentially hazardous situations as it increases the risk of large-scale marine pollution events worldwide. Natural seeps provide points of entry for hydrocarbons as oil and gas are slowly released from cracks or fissures on the ocean floor over time. Global estimates suggest that 47% of oil released into marine environments comes from these natural oil seeps [5<sup>\*\*</sup>]. Primarily, seeps have attracted attention as an indicator of a subsurface accumulation of petroleum, but, we can also use them to identify potential hydrocarbon degrading bacteria and their catalytic mechanisms [7]. The microbiota residing in the waters near these natural seeps are primed for hydrocarbon degradation through repeated exposure, forming a memory response to this contaminant [8]. Valentine *et al.* [9] found that the memory response of these oil degrading microbes led to a rapid increase in their abundance and accelerated oil biodegradation during the Deepwater Horizon (DWH) Spill [10<sup>\*</sup>].

The following deep-sea basins have received some attention as their drilling and exploration activities have increased the need for appropriate spill response measures: Gulf of Mexico, Eastern Mediterranean's Nile Deep-Sea Fan, Central Mediterranean and the Sirte Basin, North Sea, Caspian Sea, Angola, Trinidad and Tobago, Great Australian Bight, and Brazil's Amazonian Deep-Sea Basin. These basins are found in different geographic locations which are impacted by water masses that have different temperatures, salinities, dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations and limiting nutrients from land surfaces and diagenesis of hydrocarbon deposits in the deep [8]. Geochemical gradients exist within these basins ranging from warmer, well oxygenated surface waters to cold temperatures, darkness, high pressures, and possible anaerobic conditions at deeper depths. Distinct communities of hydrocarbon degraders thrive in the deep ocean and surface waters with varying metabolic capabilities [1,3<sup>\*</sup>,4<sup>\*\*</sup>,8,11]. Environmental factors in these basins influence the microbial community composition and the abundance of potential hydrocarbon degrading microorganisms [3<sup>\*</sup>]. The effect of temperature, depth,

and the physical properties of the native oil have an impact on the microbial community response and their degradative capabilities [12,13].

Though seawaters generally have high microbial abundance and diversity, hydrocarbon contamination will support the proliferation of a set of specialized obligate hydrocarbon utilizers known as obligate hydrocarbonoclastic bacteria (OHCb) [7,12]. After the DWH spill, the microbial community responded in different ways based on depth. Though surface and deep waters were both dominated by *Gammaproteobacteria*, the genera belonging to this class differed at these depths. *Oceanospirillaceae*, *Pseudoalteromonas*, *Pseudomonas*, *Vibrio*, *Acinetobacter*, and *Alteromonas* were dominant in the warm surface waters, whereas the prominent organisms in the colder, deeper waters were *Oleispira*, *Cokwellia*, and *Cycloclasticus* [3<sup>\*</sup>,6<sup>\*</sup>,11,12]. This is consistent with other reports of probable hydrocarbon degrading genera found in other deep sea oil basins [13]. The *Oceanospirillales* family, are frequently associated with hydrocarbon pollution and biodegradation of aliphatic hydrocarbons and are ubiquitous across marine basins, with variation in specific genera amongst basins [3<sup>\*</sup>,9]. *Oleispira* and *Cokwellia* have been previously isolated from Arctic environments with hydrocarbon pollution and are psychrophilic microorganisms. These microbes have adapted to the limitations on biodegradation imposed by lower temperatures to produce cold-adapted enzymes [3<sup>\*</sup>,14].

### Omics role in pre-spill response planning

The Spill Impact Mitigation Assessment (SIMA) model utilizes natural *in situ* biodegradation as a baseline response and for comparison of efficiency and hazards related to other remediation techniques [15<sup>\*\*</sup>]. It is considered as the starting point of 'no intervention' for the SIMA model (<https://www.ipieca.org/resources/awareness-briefing/guidelines-on-implementing-spill-impact-mitigation-assessment-sima/> IPIECA, 2018). Natural degradation of oil is dominated by bacterial biodegradation, as such the in-depth knowledge provided by omics is crucial for decision making and targeted response plans [8]. Omics data is a main component used in the Structured Learning in Microbial Ecology (SLiME) model to predict biodegradation rates [16]. Having a basic understanding and local microbial sampling done before contamination to establish a baseline community leads to more informed predictions of hydrocarbon transport and natural transformation rates [17<sup>\*</sup>].

SIMA utilizes the Oil Spill Contingency and Response (OSCAR) model which take some level of microbial data into account but could be greatly enhanced by site-specific bacterial community data (Table 1). The abundance and diversity of potential hydrocarbon degrading bacteria have been shown to vary significantly by both location and water depth [3<sup>\*</sup>,6<sup>\*</sup>,18] making site-specific

Table 1

Showing targets of enzymes commonly found among hydrocarbon-degrading microbes. Enzymes involved in anaerobic biodegradation are marked in italics

Hydrocarbon class	Enzyme	Action on hydrocarbons	References
Aliphatics	Alkane 1-monoxygenase	C5–C16 alkanes, alkyl benzenes, cycloalkanes	[24,25,26]
	Alcohol dehydrogenase	Oxidation of hydrocarbon intermediates to fatty acids	[24,27]
	Soluble/particulate methane monoxygenase	C1–C8 alkanes, C1–C5 halogenated alkanes, alkenes, cycloalkanes	[24,25,26]
	Eukaryotic cytochrome P450 hydroxylases	C10–C16 alkanes, Fatty acids	[28]
	Bacterial cytochrome P450 hydroxylases	C5–C16 alkanes, cycloalkanes, C15–C30 alkanes	[24]
	Dioxygenases	C10–C30 alkanes	[24]
	<i>Alkyl-succinate and arylalkyl-succinate synthases</i>	n-alkanes	[29]
Aromatics	Naphthalene 1,2-dioxygenase	Addition of molecular oxygen to low molecular weight aromatic hydrocarbons to form alcohol intermediate	[30,31]
	Benzene dioxygenase		
	Toluene dioxygenase	Ring cleavage of catecholic compounds (main intermediate in the biodegradation of aromatic hydrocarbons)	[32,33]
	Ring-cleaving dioxygenases (intradiol and extradiol dioxygenases)		
	Eukaryotic cytochrome P450 hydroxylases		
	<i>Ethylbenzene dehydrogenase</i>	Transformation of aromatic hydrocarbons to trans-dihydrodiol	[32,33]
<i>Alkyl-succinate and arylalkyl-succinate synthases</i>	Hydroxylation of aromatic hydrocarbons	[24,29]	
		Fumarate addition to aromatic hydrocarbons, alkyl-aromatic hydrocarbons	[24,29]

omics data crucial to better model fate of hydrocarbons. Though potential microbial hydrocarbon degraders are widespread, variation in environmental pressures will result in differences in these microbes, even among the same groups of bacteria. Thus rates of degradation and microbial response cannot be treated as constant across basins and depths [8]. During DWH we found that the biodegradation rates of Macondo oil varied from total petroleum half-life's of 1.2–6.1 days [1], while the TPH half-life's in Eastern Mediterranean, Great Australian Bight, Central Mediterranean, Angolan Coast and Caspian varied from 11 to 21 days for indigenous oil.

Omics data can inform the model if the local microbial community contains any known hydrocarbon degraders, via genomics; if the bacteria present are expressing oil degrading genes, via transcriptomics; and further down to proteins, metabolites, and so on, (Table 2). Omics samples should not stop at genomics (DNA analysis); while

knowing which potential degraders are present is important, it does not fully account for cometabolic degradation and biosurfactant producers [8]. Omics also provides the benefit of not needing laboratory cultivation, as many of the potential taxa are not successfully cultured [19]. Microcosm studies are subject to bottle effects (changes in nutrient composition in enclosed systems over time result in shifts of the microbial community that cause variations from what was present in the *in situ* environment at the time of collection) which limits their use in mimicking *in situ* degradation [15\*\*]. Lack of culturing ability and communities subject to bottle effects necessitates *in situ* data. A systems biology approach to planning for potential incidents is ideal in analyzing the effects of hydrocarbon pollution at the molecular, cellular, and community level to provide insight on the innate degradative capabilities that can be employed for bioremediation. Modeling from a systems biology approach in conjunction with nutrient data should help elucidate limiting

Table 2

Showing application of omics and possible analyses from biodegradative processes

Biodegradation actions	Omics tracking/analysis
Progression of hydrocarbon degradation	Genomic community analysis is used to identify community and dominant taxa shifts through progression of hydrocarbon degradation [1,8,19,34]
Microbially produced biosurfactants	Functional gene analysis can show which bacteria are producing biosurfactants, and metagenomic analysis can show which organisms have the potential to create biosurfactants [8]
Memory response	Identification of genes/organisms consistently associated with hydrocarbon degradation for use as biomarkers in the detection of hydrocarbon contamination [8,35]
Co-metabolic degradation	Identification of unique or unusual degradation pathways with real-time analysis of community metabolic state [13,33]

factors in the hydrocarbon degradation pathways to better plan for nutrient amendments and dispersants [16,20<sup>\*</sup>]. Models like SLiME [16] can be used with rapid sequencing techniques to provide results in one day that predict how a oil plume will change and how fast it will degrade. After amendments and engineering controls have been applied to ameliorate the extremes of the oil spill, samples can be taken monthly to monitor hydrocarbon concentrations, increasing time between samples as it proceeds until contamination is below detectable limits for critical risk receptor concerns.

The DWH oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico was the first large scale spill where omics were implemented [4<sup>\*\*</sup>,15<sup>\*\*</sup>], and the data acquired opened new potential monitoring methods for the clean-up process. Genomic data regarding microbial community composition indicated a correlation between changes in the community structure and dominant taxa to the hydrocarbon composition of the oil as it changes during the degradation process [4<sup>\*\*</sup>,15<sup>\*\*</sup>,18,19]. Expanding on the knowledge gained from DWH, microbial omics data may be used as another stream of evidence in pre and post spill monitoring to identify: (1) best practices for spill response, (2) the presence of hydrocarbon contamination, and (3) how far have hydrocarbons been degraded. Building off the omics tracking data that began with DWH monitoring, the monitoring and omics data from future spills and contamination events can be fed back into upgraded models — creating a feedback loop for machine learning to build the best predictions and spill response plans that allow the models to be rapidly updated for a real time response for those in the field [15<sup>\*\*</sup>].

### Omics and bacterial data limitations

Microcosm studies are subject to bottle effects (changes in nutrient composition in enclosed systems over time result in shifts of the microbial community that cause variations from what was present in the *in situ* environment at the time of collection) which limits their use in mimicking *in situ* degradation [21<sup>\*</sup>]. Utilizing culture-independent methods from omics technologies has helped to broaden our knowledge of microbial species that were previously deemed as ‘unculturable’. This was seen during the DWH spill where the integration of molecular based and culture-independent techniques increased the capacity for real time assessment of oil biodegradation [13]. The use of powerful omics technologies and bioinformatics methods, including metatranscriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, and metagenomics allowed scientists to comprehensively examine the microbial community structure, and their dynamic and metabolic capabilities associated with a major oil pollution event. It better guided our assessment of: *who are they and what are they doing?* Genomic data helped to identify trends in microbial succession and transcripts associated with hydrocarbon biodegradation. The

combination of these approaches allowed researchers to investigate the metabolic pathways taken by the microbial community to degrade oil at different stages. This information may have otherwise been overlooked if species were only analyzed individually.

Though our knowledge has increased through these culture-independent techniques, there are still limitations in sampling efforts that can introduce bias into the data analysis. As previously mentioned, the ‘bottle effect’ can affect the microbial community during transport and storage of samples. Culture-independent methods also require large volumes of water to generate a sufficient cell mass for downstream analysis [22]. Filtration methods and materials may also introduce biases into what cells are retained versus destroyed based on their adherence. Biases can also be introduced through nucleic acid extraction kits and protocols. A major concern is the ability to lyse and extract the nucleic acids from a representative set of cells from the microbial community to capture the ecological diversity [22].

Molecular methods must be processed through various bioinformatics pipelines to be converted into a format that can be analyzed. Hence, these pipelines have the potential to introduce biases into our final conclusions. The databases chosen for analysis can also introduce further biases during gene calling and annotations since different databases have varying standards for gene classification and functional analysis [23<sup>\*</sup>]. Awareness and anticipation of these biases will better prepare us to mitigate their effects on downstream analysis and conclusions. Some of these limitations can be overcome by using multiple techniques and pipelines to provide multiple lines of evidence for any conclusions made. The strength of the conclusions from this data is provided by a multi-omic analysis that not only allows us to assess the presence of hydrocarbon degrading species but also the genes, and biogeochemical pathways involved.

### Conclusions

Since biodegradation is the ideal spill response, and the base line for hydrocarbon contaminant modeling, microbial data is essential for better preparation [15<sup>\*\*</sup>]. Including multiple lines of omics research before and after contamination will allow for more robust models and response plans. Studying natural hydrocarbon seepages will also better our understanding of the microbial response without having to wait for another event. These seepages were key in the microbial response to Deepwater Horizon and providing the microbial communities with a memory response [15<sup>\*\*</sup>]. Omics should be considered part of the baseline data taken when considering drill sites or during exploratory expeditions. Once active, each site should have personnel trained in properly collecting and storing microbial samples. Allowing for multiple lines of constant local omics data will improve current

modeling capabilities. Omics is a crucial step in biodegradation and cannot be ignored when preparing for spills.

## Conflict of interest statement

Nothing declared.

## References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- of outstanding interest

## Acknowledgement

We acknowledge the University of Tennessee for providing basic support for all the authors.

1. Hazen TC, Dubinsky EA, DeSantis TZ, Andersen GL, Piceno YM, Singh N, Jansson JK, Probst A, Borglin SE, Fortney JL *et al.*: **Deep-sea oil plume enriches indigenous oil-degrading bacteria.** *Science* 2010, **330**:204-208 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1195979>.
2. Lu ZM, Deng Y, Van Nostrand JD, He ZL, Voordeckers J, Zhou AF, Lee YJ, Mason OU, Dubinsky EA, Chavarria KL *et al.*: **Microbial gene functions enriched in the Deepwater Horizon deep-sea oil plume.** *ISME J* 2012, **6**:451-460 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.91>.
3. Miller JI, Techtmann S, Joyner D, Mahmoudi N, Fortney J,
  - Fordyce JA, GaraJayeva N, Askerov FS, Cravid C, Kuijper M *et al.*: **Microbial communities across global marine basins show important compositional similarities by depth.** *mBio* 2020, **11**:12 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01448-20>

This paper shows the similarities and differences via genome structure of oil degraders across different deep marine basins.

4. Kostka JE, Joye S, Collwell R: **Deepwater Horizon and the rise of the omics.** *Eos* 2020, **101**:29

This paper provides a review of omics from GoMRI studies initiated by the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. Microbial genomics techniques came of age following the Deepwater Horizon spill, offering researcher's unparalleled insights into how ecosystems respond to such environmental disasters.

5. National Academies of Sciences, Medicine, and Engineering: **The Use of Dispersants in Marine Oil Spill Response.** Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2020 <http://dx.doi.org/10.17226/25161>

This book provides an excellent review of dispersants and the effects of marine oil spills and responses. It compares different models for dispersants and biodegradation.

6. Miller JI, Techtmann S, Fortney J, Mahmoudi N, Joyner D, Liu J, Olesen S, Alm E, Fernandez A, Gardinali P *et al.*: **Oil hydrocarbon degradation by Caspian sea microbial communities.** *Front Microbiol* 2019, **10**:15 <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00995>

This paper gives examples of genomics from a deepwater basin with long-term oil production. Oil degraders from different parts of the water column were significantly different in community structure.

7. Hassanshahian M, Cappello S: **Crude oil biodegradation in the marine environments.** *Biodegradation - Engineering and Technology.* InTech; 2013 <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/55554>.
8. Hazen TC, Prince RC, Mahmoudi N: **Marine oil biodegradation.** *Environ Sci Technol* 2016, **50**:2121-2129 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b03333>.
9. Valentine DL, Mezic I, Macesic S, Crnjacic-Zic N, Ivic S, Hogan PJ, Fonoberov VA, Loire S: **Dynamic autoinoculation and the microbial ecology of a deep water hydrocarbon irruption.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2012, **109**:20286-20291 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1108820109>.
10. Kujawinski EB, Reddy CM, Rodgers RP, Thrash JC, Valentine DL,
  - White HK: **The first decade of scientific insights from the Deepwater Horizon oil release.** *Nat Rev Earth Environ* 2020, **1**:237-250 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s43017-020-0046-x>

This paper reviews the scientific insights to DWH concerning oil biodegradation and systems approaches.

11. Redmond MC, Valentine DL: **Natural gas and temperature structured a microbial community response to the Deepwater Horizon oil spill.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2012, **109**:20292-20297 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1108756108>.
12. Meng L, Liu H, Bao M, Sun P: **Microbial community structure shifts are associated with temperature, dispersants and nutrients in crude oil-contaminated seawaters.** *Mar Pollut Bull* 2016, **111**:203-212 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.07.010>.
13. Hazen TC, Techtmann SM: **Oil biodegradation in deep Marine basins.** In *Handbook of Hydrocarbon and Lipid Microbiology.* Edited by Steffan R. Cham: Springer; 2018 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-44535-9\\_22-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-44535-9_22-1).
14. Ribicic D, Netzer R, Hazen TC, Techtmann SM, Drablos F, Brakstad OG: **Microbial community and metagenome dynamics during biodegradation of dispersed oil reveals potential key-players in cold Norwegian seawater.** *Mar Pollut Bull* 2018, **129**:370-378 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.02.034>.
15. Hazen TC: **Lessons from the 2010 Deepwater Horizon accident**
  - **in the Gulf of Mexico.** In *Hydrocarbons, Oils and Lipids: Diversity, Origin, Chemistry and Fate. Handbook of Hydrocarbon and Lipid Microbiology.* Edited by Wilkes iz. Cham, Switzerland: Springer; 2020:847-864 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-90569-3\\_31](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-90569-3_31)

This paper examines 10 lessons from the DWH oil spill: the unique characteristics of the spill, biodegradation faster than expected, the role of natural seeps, jetting and dispersants, comparison of DWH with Exxon Valdez spill, the failure of initial biodegradation models, cometabolic oil biodegradation, blooms and temporal succession, the use of molecular techniques, and how little effect pressure had on oil biodegradation in the deep.

16. Smith MB, Rocha AM, Smillie CS, Olesen SW, Paradis C, Wu L, Campbell JH, Fortney JL, Mehlhorn TL, Lowe KA *et al.*: **Natural bacterial communities serve as quantitative geochemical biosensors.** *mBio* 2015, **6** <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00326-15> e00326-15.
17. Love CR, Arrington EC, Gosselin KM, Reddy CM, Van Mooy BAS,
  - Nelson RK, Valentine DL: **Microbial production and consumption of hydrocarbons in the global ocean.** *Nat Microbiol* 2021, **6**:489-498 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41564-020-00859-8>

This paper reviews out general understanding of production and metabolism of hydrocarbons in the ocean.

18. King GM, Kostka JE, Hazen TC, Sobecky PA: **Microbial responses to the Deepwater Horizon oil spill: from coastal wetlands to the deep sea.** *Annu Rev Mar Sci* 2015, **7**:377-401 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010814-015543>.
19. Dubinsky EA, Conrad ME, Chakraborty R, Bill M, Borglin SE, Hollibaugh JT, Mason OU, Piceno YM, Reid FC, Stringfellow WT *et al.*: **Succession of hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria in the aftermath of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico.** *Environ Sci Technol* 2013, **47**:10860-10867 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es401676y>.
20. Geng X, Khalil CA, Prince RC, Lee K, An C, Boufadel MC:
  - **Hypersaline pore water in Gulf of Mexico beaches prevented efficient biodegradation of Deepwater Horizon beached oil.** *Environ Sci Technol* 2021, **55**:13801

This paper provides and example of how geochemical parameters can have a dramatic effect on oil biodegradation on beached oil.

21. Molina-Menor E, Gimeno-Valero H, Pascual J, Peretó J, Porcar M:
  - **High culturable bacterial diversity from a European desert: the Tabernas desert.** *Front Microbiol* 2021, **11** <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.583120>

This paper describes the difficulties in culturing versus direct genomic analysis.

22. Hazen TC, Rocha AM, Techtmann SM: **Advances in monitoring environmental microbes.** *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 2013, **24**:526-533 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2012.10.020>.
23. Chandran H, Meena M, Sharma K: **Microbial biodiversity and bioremediation assessment through omics approaches.** *Front*

*Environ Chem* 2020, **1** <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fenvc.2020.570326>

This paper describes how omics approaches can provide assessment of microbial biodiversity and bioremediation.

24. Abbasian F, Lockington R, Mallavarapu M, Naidu R: **A comprehensive review of aliphatic hydrocarbon biodegradation by bacteria.** *Appl Biochem Biotechnol* 2015, **176**:670-699 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12010-015-1603-5>.
25. Varjani SJ: **Microbial degradation of petroleum hydrocarbons.** *Bioresour Technol Rep* 2017, **223**:277-286 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.10.037>.
26. Varjani SJ, Upasani VN: **A new look on factors affecting microbial degradation of petroleum hydrocarbon pollutants.** *Int Biodeter Biodegr* 2017, **120**:71-83 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2017.02.006>.
27. Baburam C, Feto NA: **Mining of two novel aldehyde dehydrogenases (DHY-SC-VUT5 and DHY-G-VUT7) from metagenome of hydrocarbon contaminated soils.** *BMC Biotechnol* 2021, **21** <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12896-021-00677-8>.
28. Al-Hawash AB, Dragh MA, Li S, Alhujaily A, Abbood HA, Zhang X, Ma F: **Principles of microbial degradation of petroleum hydrocarbons in the environment.** *Egypt J Aquat Res* 2018, **44**:71-76 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejar.2018.06.001>.
29. Rabus R, Boll M, Heider J, Meckenstock RU, Buckel W, Einsle O, Ermiler U, Golding BT, Gunsalus RP, Kroneck PMH *et al.*: **Anaerobic microbial degradation of hydrocarbons: from enzymatic reactions to the environment.** *J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol* 2016, **26**:5-28 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000443997>.
30. Xu X, Liu W, Tian S, Wang W, Qi Q, Jiang P, Gao X, Li F, Li H, Yu H: **Petroleum hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria for the remediation of oil pollution under aerobic conditions: a perspective analysis.** *Front Microbiol* 2018, **9** <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02885>.
31. Lee Y, Lee Y, Jeon CO: **Biodegradation of naphthalene, BTEX, and aliphatic hydrocarbons by *Paraburkholderia aromaticivorans* BN5 isolated from petroleum-contaminated soil.** *Sci Rep* 2019, **9** <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-36165-x>.
32. Vaillancourt FH, Bolin JT, Eltis LD: **The ins and outs of ring-cleaving dioxygenases.** *Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol* 2006, **41**:241-267 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10409230600817422>.
33. Ghosal D, Ghosh S, Dutta TK, Ahn Y: **Current state of knowledge in microbial degradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs): a review.** *Front Microbiol* 2016, **7** <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01369>.
34. IPIECA: *Guidelines on Implementing Spill Impact Mitigation Assessment (SIMA)*. International Petroleum Industry Environmental Conservation Association; 2018 [ipieca.org](http://ipieca.org).
35. Techtmann SM, Hazen TC: **Metagenomic applications in environmental monitoring and bioremediation.** *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol* 2016, **43**:1345-1354 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10295-016-1809-8>.