In Situ Survival of Genetically Engineered Microorganisms in a Tropical Aquatic Environment
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In this study, the survival of genetically engineered microorganisms (GEMs) and their interactions with the environmental microbiota of a tropical river was investigated. Diffusion chambers were used for the in situ survival experiments with a nonplasmid containing Escherichia coli DH7 strain and two model GEMs, E. coli JM103 containing a 2.6 kilobase plasmid (pUC9) and E. coli DH1 with a 4.8 kb plasmid (pWTAla5'). Pure culture survival studies indicated that after a week in the environment a 1.0 log₁₀ decrease in bacterial numbers occurred for both E. coli DH1, while a 3.0 log₁₀ reduction was observed for E. coli JM103. However, a reduction of 4.0 log₁₀ was observed for the E. coli DH1 (pWTAla5') when placed in a chamber conjointly with the resident microbiota. The data suggest that the presence of a plasmid makes no difference on the survival time of GEMs, whereas the presence of competing bacteria is ultimately what limits the survival time of GEMs in the environment. © 1996 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

INTRODUCTION

An accidental or deliberate release of genetically engineered microorganisms (GEMs) into the environment can be a possible source of biological contamination of ground or surface waters. Although there seem to be many promising applications of GEMs in agriculture, industry, and medicine, their use has been limited so far by environmental concerns (Bentjen et al., 1989).

Fundamental concerns regarding GEMs in the environment include the ability of these organisms to survive, to compete with the indigenous microbiota, and the possible transfer of their manipulated DNA to other microorganisms. Prediction of the fate of the GEMs and their engineered DNA in natural systems is a major component of a complete risk assessment process (Cuskey, 1990).

Previous work in our laboratory has shown the efficacy of using in situ diffusion chambers for bacterial survival. Some of these studies have been conducted with diverse eukaryotic and prokaryotic microorgan-
isms such as *Escherichia coli* (Carrillo et al., 1985), *Klebsiella* (Lopez et al., 1988), and *Aeromonas* (Bian- mon and Hazen, 1983). These studies were conducted under various environmental conditions, in areas pollu-
ted by pulp mill, rum distillery, as well as domestic and industrial sewage effluents. The use of these cham-
bers allows for the diffusion of soluble salts as well as organic material while preventing the escape of bacte-
ria even after these have undergone morphological changes to become ultramicrocells in response to nutri-
ent limitation.

By using diffusion chambers it is possible to expose microorganisms to environmental conditions without
an actual release. This allows us to determine the sur-
vival time of the exposed microorganisms and their con-
finement allows for studies regarding the possible transfer of the manipulated nucleic acid to the indige-
nous microflora. The purpose of this study was to deter-
mine the survival rates and potential for gene transfer
of two model GEMs organisms and a nonplasmid-con-
taining strain.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial Strains**

Strains used in this study as model for GEMs were as follows: (1) *E. coli* DH1 containing the pWTAla5' plasmid. This is a 4.8 kilobase (kb) pBR322 derivative that contains a 437 base-pair (bp) sequence coding for the tRNA^ala^ gene isolated from *Bombyx mori* (Larson et al., 1983). (2) *Escherichia coli* JM103 containing the pUC9 plasmid, a 2.6 kb plasmid which contains a 147 bp U1snRNA gene coding insert from the sea urchin *Lytechinus variegatus* (Morris and Marzluff, 1985). (3) A nonplasmid containing *E. coli* DH1.

**In Situ Survival of GEMs**

In situ survival experiments were carried out in the La Mina River located in the Caribbean National Rain
Forest of "El Yunque," using survival chambers (Mc-
Feters and Stuart, 1972). The river, characterized by pristine waters, begins at an elevation of about 1000 m
above sea level, in the Palma Sierra forest, and receives about 762 cm of rain each year (Lopez et al., 1988).
In situ studies were conducted during the months of November and January. Each plexiglass diffusion
chamber has a capacity greater than 100 mL. The diffu-
sion surface was created by a 0.45 μm, 142 mm diam-
eter, Nylon reinforced Versapor 450 membrane filter
(Gelman, Ann Arbor, MI). All strains were grown in
luria broth at 37°C and harvested at midlog phase. Ster-
ile chambers were loaded with a concentration of 10^9
colony forming units (CFU)/mL, and were sampled
at increasing selected time intervals to determine its
survival time, estimated time to decrease by 90%, or
one order of magnitude (Jimenez et al., 1989). Each
trial consisted of duplicate chambers and was repeated
at least twice.

Viable count methods were used to determine bacte-
rial concentrations (American Public Health Associa-
tion, 1985). The possible exchange of recombinant plas-
mids between GEMs and the resident microflora was
monitored by antibiotic-containing selective media and
nucleic acid probes using colony hybridization (Sam-
brook et al., 1989). Samples from each diffusion cham-
ber were plated and incubated at 37°C. Colonies were
replica-transferred onto nitrocellulose, and cells
were lysed by alkali treatment and processed for hy-
bridization (Sambrook et al., 1989). After hybridization and high stringency
washes, hybridized signals were detected by autoradi-
ography.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In the first survival experiment 10^9/CFU/mL of the
GEMs strains *E. coli* DH1 (pWTAla5') and *E. coli*
JM103 (pUC9) were loaded as pure cultures into sepa-
In a second experiment, diffusion chambers were loaded with \textit{E. coli} DH1 (pWTAla5') at \(10^9\) CFU/mL and a nonplasmid containing \textit{E. coli} DH1 strain. After a week in the environment under similar conditions a 10-fold decrease in bacterial numbers was observed for \textit{E. coli} JM103, while the \textit{E. coli} DH1 showed a decrease of \(1.0\) log\(_{10}\). These results seem to indicate that some GEMs are less likely to survive in the environment, diminishing the possibility of gene transfer from the GEMs to the resident microbiota.

In the present study it indicates that two strains of GEMs exhibit different survival patterns under the same environmental conditions in spite of the fact that both are members of the genus \textit{Escherichia}. These bacteria contain different plasmids (pUC9 and pWTAla5') that endow them with different properties. Plasmid size, antibiotic resistance, and plasmid copy number per cell are the most obvious differences between these plasmids. The presence of nonrequired plasmid DNA has been previously shown to affect the survival times of some bacteria in environmental studies (Jain et al., 1988). Results obtained in this study seem to fit the same pattern.

Differences in survival rates between these GEMs indicate that some GEMs are less resilient in the environment. This diminishes the possibilities of genetic transfer from the GEMs to the resident microflora. Our study showed no transfer of genetic information from the GEMs to indigenous microflora. Similar results
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\caption{In situ survival of \textit{E. coli} DH1 and \textit{E. coli} DH1 (containing pWTAla5') in the La Mina River. Each strain was loaded in pure culture (\(10^9\) CFU/mL) in separate diffusion chambers and sampled at increasing intervals to measure bacterial decay. Data are expressed as the mean ± standard error.}
\end{figure}
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\caption{In situ survival of \textit{E. coli} DH1 and \textit{E. coli} DH1 (pWTAla5') in the La Mina River. Each strain (\(10^9\) CFU/mL) was mixed with river water containing \(10^7\) CFU/mL of naturally occurring bacteria, loaded in separate diffusion chambers, and sampled at increasing intervals to measure bacterial decay. Data are expressed as the mean ± standard error.}
\end{figure}
have been described previously (Devanas et al., 1986). This is to be expected, since both plasmids are non-conjugative and poorly mobilizable. This is one of the main reasons why they are used most often in genetic recombination experiments (Jain et al., 1988). This study indicates that the use of the least resistant strains and the least mobilizable plasmids should be used if they are to be released into the environment. Our results suggest that one should not try to predict survival times of a genetically engineered microorganism based on experiments conducted with another. Thus, GEMs must be evaluated on an individual basis prior to their release into the environment.

In order to determine if, in fact, the genetic differences contributed to the different survival times, in situ parallel experiments were run with a plasmid-containing and a plasmid-free strain. After a week in the environment in diffusion chambers, no differences in survival were observed between the plasmid-containing and plasmid-free strains. However, when both strains were exposed to resident microflora a significant difference in the survival time of the GEM (plasmid-bearing strain) was observed.

Whereas our studies suggest that the presence of the plasmid makes no difference on the survival of the two strains under pure culture conditions, the presence of competing bacteria seems to be limiting their survival time in the environment. Similar results have been reported by Amy and Hiatt (1989) and Devanas et al. (1986), among others. Their studies have shown that, except in cases where the plasmid conferred a selective advantage, its presence had little effect on the survival of the bacterial host. In the presence of competing bacteria the plasmid may become a biosynthetic burden—hence, the plasmid-containing bacteria may be at a disadvantage. This proposal is based on studies in which cells exhibited an enhanced growth rate upon loss of their plasmid (Morgan et al., 1989).

Our observations contrast with those suggested by Marshall et al. (1988) and Awong et al. (1990), who indicated that the plasmid-containing GEMs survived better, or as well as their plasmid-free counterparts. These contradicting data indicate the need for further research on the survival of recombinant microorganisms, including strain-specific studies. These should be conducted under environmental conditions that simulate, as closely as possible, the environment into which they will be released. The advantages of using diffusion chambers are simplicity, ease in adjusting for environmental variables, and the fact that the organisms are continuously exposed to the test water.

In conclusion, in situ survival experiments demonstrated that GEMs must be evaluated individually if they are to be released into the environment, and that the presence of competing bacteria may ultimately limit the survival of genetically engineered microorganisms in the environment.

This work was supported in part by a RIMI grant (National Institutes of Health No. M32 89 1391, Industry University Research Center Grant No. G-91-02, and a SUBE National Institutes of Health Grant No. GM 08 102.

REFERENCES


